



Best Available Copy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,639	04/06/2005	Carlos Antonio Alba Pinto	NL02 0976 US	7526

24738 7590 09/28/2007
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
370 W. TRIMBLE ROAD MS 91/MG
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER

TRAN, VINCENT HUY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2115

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/28/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/530,639

Applicant(s)

ALBA PINTO ET AL.

Examiner

Vincent T. Tran

Art Unit

2115

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 5 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-4, 6-9 and 11-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the communication filed on 7/25/07
2. Claims 2-13 are pending for examination.
3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 2-3, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feierbach.
6. As per claim 6, Feierbach discloses data processing apparatus, the apparatus comprising :
an instruction memory system [102 fig. 1; 308 fig. 3] arranged to output an instruction word, capable of containing a plurality of instructions, respective instruction words being output in response to respective instruction addresses;
an instruction execution unit [1-7-110 fig. 1; 302s fig. 3], comprising a plurality of functional units, each capable of executing a respective instruction from the instruction word in parallel with execution of other instructions from the instruction word by other ones of the functional units [col. 6 lines 23-31];

a power saving circuit [112 fig. 1; 306, 304 fig. 3] arranged to switch a selectable subset of the functional units and/or parts of the instruction memory that supply instructions from the instruction word to the functional units to a power saving state during program execution, the power saving circuit being arranged to select the functional units and/or parts of the instruction memory in the subset dependent on program execution [col. 4 lines 16-45; col. 6 lines 43-62].

wherein the power saving circuit is arranged to select the subset dependent an instruction address [*the address of the instruction words are stored in an instruction memory 308; the instruction register 310 is divided into multiple slot 312, each of which contains a portion of the instruction address of the VLIW 308*] associated with the instruction word [*the evaluation unit 304 and stage activation controllers 306 to control the states such that only those stages that will operate upon an operation type instruction will draw current* – col. 6 lines 23-58].

7. As per claim 2, Feierbach discloses clock signals to the functional units and/or parts of the instruction memory in the subset are disabled in said power saving state [col. 2 lines 1-4].

8. As per claim 3, Feierbach discloses the functional units are organized into groups of one or more functional units each, the functional unit or units in each respective group [fig. 6] receiving instructions from a respective instruction field in the instruction word, each time for execution by one of the functional units in the group, the power saving circuit selecting the functional units that are switched to the power saving state per group [col. 2 lines 7-11].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

11. Claims 4, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feierbach as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Bartley.

12. As per claim 4, Feierbach does not teach the power saving circuit being arranged to switch memory units to the power saving state that supply the instruction field that for the selectable ones of the functional units that are switched to the power saving state.

Barley teaches another invention relates to microprocessor, and more particularly to methods of using programming instructions in a manner that reduces the power consumptions of a microprocessor; where the method is used with program written for a processor having distinct "functional units" to which instructions may be independently directed to power down based on the instruction type. specifically, Barley teaches a instruction memory system comprises a plurality of memory units [Register File in 11d and 11e or 12], each for supplying a respective instruction field in the instruction word for an instruction work for an instruction for a respective functional unit or group of functional units, the power saving circuit being arranged to switch those memory units to the power saving state that supply the instruction field that for the

Art Unit: 2115

selectable ones of the functional units that are switched to the power saving state [col. 6 lines 36-47].

At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the system of Feierbach with the power down of those memory units to the power saving state supply the instruction field that for the selectable ones of the functional units that are switched to the power saving state of Bartley to further reduce power consumption.

13. As per claim 7, Barley discloses the powering saving circuit is arranged to select the subset under control of one or more instructions contained in a program executed by the data processing apparatus [col. 5 lines 55-66].

14. As per claim 8, Barley discloses one or more instructions specify the subset [col. 6 lines 1-3].

15. As per claim 9, Barley discloses identifying a part of the program wherein the instruction word does not contain instructions for functional units in a particular one of the groups, and using the powering saving circuit to switch to the power saving state the functional units that not contained in the particular one of the group and/or memory units that are coupled to the particular one of the groups, during executing of said identified part of the program [col. 6 lines 25-32].

Art Unit: 2115

16. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartley.

17. As per claim 11, Bartley discloses data processing apparatus, the apparatus comprising :
an instruction memory system [12, 11a, 11b fig. 1] arranged to output an instruction word, capable of containing a plurality of instructions, respective instruction words being output in response to respective instruction addresses [col. 3 lines 16-21];

an instruction execution unit [11d, 11e fig. 1], comprising a plurality of functional units, each capable of executing a respective instruction from the instruction word in parallel with execution of other instructions from the instruction word by other ones of the functional units [col. 3 lines 41-61];

a power saving circuit [18 fig. 1] arranged to switch a selectable subset of the functional units and/or parts of the instruction memory that supply instructions from the instruction word to the functional units to a power saving state during program execution, the power saving circuit being arranged to select the functional units and/or parts of the instruction memory in the subset dependent on program execution [col. 6 lines 34-43].

wherein the instruction decode unit is configured to:

receive a power saving instruction from the instruction word [SLEEP x, x, x – where x could be one of the functional units], and

control the power saving circuit to switch at least one of functional unit to the power saving state based on the power saving instruction [col. 5 line 60 to col. 6 line 7].

Barley does not explicitly teach at least one of the functional units is configured to receive a power saving instruction to control the power of at least one other functional unit.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure at least one of the functional units to receive a power saving instruction because applicant has not disclosed that by configure one of the functional units to receive a power saving instruction provides an advantage, it used to a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, further more, would have expected applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the claimed functional unit or other unit as taught by Barley to receive a power saving instruction because both units perform the same function of providing the system the ability to independently control the operating state of each functional unit.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Barley to obtain the invention as specified in claim 11.

18. As per claim 12, Bartley discloses clock signals to the functional units and/or parts of the instruction memory in the subset are disabled in said power saving state [col. 6 lines 40-43].

19. As per claim 13, Bartley discloses the instruction memory system comprises a plurality of memory units [Register File in 11d and 11e or 12], each for supplying a respective instruction field in the instruction word for an instruction work for an instruction for a respective functional unit or group of functional units, the power saving circuit being arranged to switch those memory units to the power saving state that supply the instruction field that for the selectable ones of the functional units that are switched to the power saving state [col. 6 lines 36-47].

Allowable Subject Matter

20. Claim 5 is allowed.
21. Claims 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Examiner's note:

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Prior Art not relied upon:

Please refer to the references listed in attached PTO-892, which, are not relied upon for claim rejection since these references are relevant to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7210. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas c. Lee can be reached on (571)272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vincent Tran



CHUN CAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER